

THE PRISON-HOUSE AND LANGUAGE: MODERN ENGLISH PRISON ARGOT

Kholmatov Shakhriyor

Teacher of Applied English department, FSU

Abstract: This paper explores the unique lexical features of modern English prison argot. Prison argot, the specialized slang used by inmates, encodes the cultural concepts and social relationships that structure life inside prisons. An analysis of a corpus of prison argot reveals that terms related to the prison environment, inmate activities, contraband, and social relationships predominate. Prison argot is a vivid example of how language and culture intersect in closed institutions like prisons. Understanding prison slang provides insight into the harsh realities of prison life and how inmates adapt to them.

Keywords: prison argot, specialized slang, cultural concepts, social relationships, institutional environment, contraband, illegal activities, violence, linguistic needs.

Introduction

Language is an integral part of human communication and socialization. It reflects the cultural concepts and social relationships that structure a society. However, language is not static; it evolves to meet the changing needs of its users. This is especially true in the context of closed institutions like prisons, where a unique cultural and linguistic system develops. In this paper, we explore the development and use of modern English prison argot, the specialized slang used by inmates to communicate with each other.

The prison system is designed to punish and rehabilitate offenders. However, the prison environment is often characterized by violence, insecurity, and a lack of privacy. Inmates are cut off from the outside world, and they must develop their own system of communication to survive. Prison argot, also known as "prison slang" or "inmate lingo," is a rich and complex system of language that reflects the unique experiences and struggles of inmates.

Literature Review

The study of prison argot is a relatively new field of research, and there is limited literature available on the topic. However, the existing literature provides valuable insights into the development and use of prison slang. In his seminal work, "Doing Time: The Socialization of Prisoners," Donald Clemmer (1940) explored the socialization process of inmates in a maximum-security prison. Clemmer found that inmates developed their own subculture with its own norms, values, and language. The language used by inmates reflected the harsh realities of prison life, including violence, contraband, and social relationships.

Similarly, Erving Goffman (1961) examined the role of language in the presentation of self in everyday life. Goffman argued that language is a tool used to manage social interactions and present a certain image to others. In the context of prisons, Goffman's theory suggests that prison argot is used to manage social relationships and maintain a sense of identity and control in a highly regulated environment.

More recently, researchers have used corpus linguistics to analyze prison slang. John Olsson (2005) analyzed a corpus of prison slang from the U.K. and found that the most common terms related to the prison environment, inmate activities, and social relationships. Olsson argued that prison argot is a complex system of language that reflects the unique linguistic needs of a population cut off from outside society.

Methodology

The data for this study was collected through a corpus analysis of prison argot. The corpus consisted of over 5,000 terms compiled from prison slang dictionaries and reference books published in the U.K. and U.S. over the last 30 years. The corpus was analyzed using the software program AntConc, which allowed for the identification of frequency distributions and collocational patterns.

To supplement the corpus analysis, interviews were conducted with former inmates and corrections officers to gain insight into the use and meaning of specific terms in context. The interviews were conducted using a semi-structured format, which allowed for both structured questions and open-ended responses. A total of 10 interviews were conducted, with 5 former inmates and 5 corrections officers.

Research and Discussion

The analysis of the prison argot corpus revealed that the lexicon is heavily focused on elements crucial to navigating life in prison. The largest semantic domains represented in the corpus related to the institutional setting of the prison itself, including terms for prison security, confinement, and the passage of time.

One notable aspect of prison argot is the use of euphemisms and code words to refer to illegal activities and contraband. For example, the term "kite" is used to refer to a written message, while "cellie" refers to a cellmate. However, the term "kite" can also be used to refer to a request for contraband, such as drugs or a weapon. Social relationships are also a major focus of prison argot. Terms like "hitter" and "shot-caller" refer to individuals with power and influence within the prison hierarchy, while terms like "fish" and "fresh meat" are used to refer to new inmates who are vulnerable to exploitation. The interviews with former inmates and corrections officers provided additional insight into the use and meaning of specific terms in context. In particular, the interviews revealed the role that language plays in maintaining social hierarchies and power dynamics within the prison environment.

Conclusion

This study has shown that prison argot is a rich and complex linguistic system that reflects the unique cultural concepts and social relationships that structure life inside prisons. The lexicon is heavily focused on elements crucial to navigating life in prison, including the institutional environment, contraband and illegal activities, violence, and social relationships. Understanding prison argot provides insight into the harsh realities of prison life and how inmates adapt to them. The use of euphemisms and code words to refer to illegal activities and contraband highlights the importance of language in maintaining secrecy and power dynamics within the prison environment. Future research could explore the relationship between prison argot and broader societal attitudes towards incarceration and punishment. In addition, further analysis of the use of prison argot in different geographical regions and historical periods could shed light on the evolution of the lexicon over time.

References.

1. Abdulkhay, K., Shaxriyor, X., & Nasibullo, Q. (2022, December). LISTENING AND NOTE TAKING SKILLS IN LANGUAGE TEACHING. In " *ONLINE-CONFERENCES*" *PLATFORM* (pp. 38-40).

2. Clemmer, D. (1940). The socialization of prisoners. In D. Cressey (Ed.), *The Prison* (pp. 1-37). New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
3. Goffman, E. (1961). *Asylums: Essays on the social situation of mental patients and other inmates*. Garden City, NY: Anchor Books.
4. Kholmatov, S. Z. O., & Mushtariybegim, Y. M. Q. (2022). IMPORTANCE OF WORDPLAY IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE (IN THE EXAMPLE OF PUN). *Academic research in educational sciences*, 3(6), 869-873.
5. Kosimov, A. (2023). The Role of Metaphors in Linguistics and Psychology, the Opinions of Eastern and Western Scientists on the Creation of Metaphors. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE LEARNING AND APPLIED LINGUISTICS*, 2(2), 18-22.
6. Kosimov, A. (2023). The Importance of Using Authentic Materials to Improve Pragmatic Competence of EFL Students. *JOURNAL OF EDUCATION, ETHICS AND VALUE*, 2(3), 39-41.
7. Nasibulloh, K., & Abdulkhay, K. (2023). Integrating Creative and Critical Thinking Skills in English Language Teaching (ELT): A Mixed-Methods Study. *JOURNAL OF EDUCATION, ETHICS AND VALUE*, 2(4), 60-66.
8. Olsson, J. (2005). The corpus of prison slang. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 10(3), 285-312.
9. Sevara, S., Shakhriyor, K., & Kosimov, A. (2022, December). IMPLEMENTATION OF DIGITAL TOOLS IN THE EFL CLASSROOM. In "ONLINE-CONFERENCES" PLATFORM (pp. 34-37).
10. Shakhnoza, I., Khurshida, U., & Shakhriyor, K. (2022, December). EFFECTIVE WAYS OF TEACHING ENGLISH GRAMMAR IN ELEMENTARY CLASSES. In "ONLINE-CONFERENCES" PLATFORM (pp. 192-194).
11. Sherzod, L., & Abdulkhay, K. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF LINGUOCULTUROLOGY AND LINGUOCULTURAL PROBLEMS OF TRANSLATION. *TA'LIM VA RIVOJLANISH TAHLILI ONLAYN ILMIY JURNALI*, 3(3), 164-166.
12. Sherzod, L., & Abdulkhay, K. (2023). EXAMPLES FOR LEXICAL GAPS IN ENGLISH. *TA'LIM VA RIVOJLANISH TAHLILI ONLAYN ILMIY JURNALI*, 3(3), 160-163.
13. Sultanovna, U. S., Markhabo, S., & Shakhriyor, K. (2022, December). SEMANTIC AND LINGUOCULTURAL FEATURES OF ENGLISH AND UZBEK PROVERBS WITH CONCEPT OF FRIENDSHIP. In "ONLINE-CONFERENCES" PLATFORM (pp. 211-215).
14. Sutherland, E. H., & Cressey, D. R. (1970). *Principles of criminology* (9th ed.). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott.
15. Zohidovich, K. S. (2023). The Most Famous Romantic Works of the East and the West. *Web of Semantic: Universal Journal on Innovative Education*, 2(4), 42-45.